

TRANSCRIPT OF  
MEETING  
of  
STATE LANDS COMMISSION  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

May 24, 1962

\*\*\*\*

PARTICIPANTS:

THE COMMISSION:

Hon. Glenn M. Anderson, Lieutenant Governor, Chairman  
Hon. Alan Cranston, Controller  
Hon. Hale Champion, Director of Finance

Mr. F. J. Horig, Executive Officer  
Mr. Alan Sieroty, Administrative  
Assistant to Lieutenant Governor

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Mr. Jay L. Shavelson, Deputy Attorney General

I N D E X  
(In accordance with Calendar Summary)

ITEM CLASSIFICATION	ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT
1 Confirmation of minutes meeting February 27, 1962			1
2 PERMITS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS- OF-WAY, NO FEE			
(a) Huntington Harbour Corp.	19	1	1
(b) Las Gallinas Val.San.Dist.	17	3	1
(c) Leon Mason	8	4	1
(d) Lt. Col. Tom E. Nowell	15	5	1
(e) Ray Shaw	25	7	1
(f) State Dept. Fish & Game	22	9	2
(g) " " " "	23	10	2
MOTION ON CLASSIFICATION 2 - - 2			
3 PERMITS, EASEMENTS, LEASES, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, FEE			
(a) Hidden Splendor Min.Co.	11	11	3
(b) Beloll Corp., Ltd.	13	13	3
(c) Geo. H. Hurd & Fred Muller	1	14	3
(d) George W. Ladd	21	15	3
(e) Pacific Gas & Elec. Co.	18	16	3
(f) Std.Oil Co. of Calif. Western Operations, Inc.	7	17	3
(g) Tidewater Oil Company	24	18	4
(h) United States of America	14	20	4
MOTION ON CLASSIFICATION 3 - - 4			
continued			

I N D E X  
(In accordance with Calendar Summary)

3	<u>ITEM CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>ITEM ON CALENDAR</u>	<u>PAGE OF CALENDAR</u>	<u>PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT</u>
4	4 CITY OF LONG BEACH			
5	(a) Town Lot - increase in cost of property Area 7	12	21	4
6	(b) General subsidence maint.	10	23	4
7	5 LAND ITEMS			
8	(a) Sale of vacant State school land:			
10	(1) U.S. Plywood Corp.	6	25	5
11	(b) Selection and sale of vacant Federal lands			
12	(1) J. R. Brown	4	26	5
13	(2) J. R. Brown	3	27	5
14	(c) Selection vacant Federal lands on behalf of State			
16	(1) 120 ac. San Bernardino Co.	16	25	5
17	6 Authorization to review San Francisco Bay military grants	26	29	6
18	MOTION ON CLASSIFICATION 6 - - 9			
19	7 Authorization to enter com- pensatory royalty agreement			
20	R. E. Rapp and Edward T. Perry	20	31	9
21	8 Authorization to approve and have recorded map of boundary	9	36	10
22	of State submerged lands vicinity			
23	of Martinez and execute agreement			
24	with Tidewater Oil fixing boundary			
25	9 Authorization to execute service agreement City of Oakland - pursuant to Ch 931/61	5	39	11
26	continued			

I N D E X  
(In accordance with Calendar Summary)

<u>ITEM CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>ITEM ON CALENDAR</u>	<u>PAGE OF CALENDAR</u>	<u>PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT</u>
10 Proposed Oil and Gas Lease Santa Barbara County, Parcel 9	27	40	12
11 Confirmation of transactions by Executive Officer:	2		12
Bay Area Educ. TV		41	
Moore, William I.		42	
Shell Oil Co.		42	
Standard Oil Co.		41	
12 INFORMATIVE:			
Major litigation	28	43	13
13 Establishment of land management and sales program	29	45	14
MOTION ON CLASSIFICATION 13 - - 17-19			
14 Next meeting			19

\*\*\*\*

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

I N D E X  
(In accordance with item numbers)

ITEM ON  
CALENDAR

PAGE OF  
CALENDAR

PAGE OF  
TRANSCRIPT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

14 41 27 26 39 25 17 4 36 23 11 21 13 20 5 23 3 16 1 31 15 9 10 16 7 29 40 43 45

3 12 5 11 15 13 1 19 4 3 4 3 4 1 5 1 3 1 2 3 2 2 4 1 6 12 13 14

Next meeting - - - - -

19

1           GOV. ANDERSON: The meeting of the State Lands  
2 Commission will come to order. The secretary will make note  
3 that all members are present.

4           The first item is confirmation of minutes of the  
5 meeting of February 27.

6           MR. GRANSTON: Move approval.

7           MR. CHAMPION: Second.

8           GOV. ANDERSON: Carried unanimously. Item 2 --  
9 Permits, easements, and rights-of-way to be granted to public  
10 and other agencies at no fee, pursuant to statute. Considera-  
11 tion is the public benefit.

12           The first applicant is Huntington Harbour Corpora-  
13 tion -- Amendment to P.R.C. 2878.9, permit to temporarily  
14 drain navigable channels in Sunset Bay, Orange County.

15           Applicant 2, Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District --  
16 Permit to dredge channel in San Pablo Bay, Marin County;  
17 49-year life-of-structure permit for maintenance and use of  
18 channel thus created; applicant (c), Leon Mason -- Permit  
19 for dredging 12,000 cubic yards material from 3.45 acres tide  
20 and submerged lands in Bodega Bay, Sonoma County, in area  
21 granted to County of Sonoma in 1959; applicant (d), Lt. Col.  
22 Tom E. Newell -- permit to dredge approximately 6,500 cubic  
23 yards material from tide and submerged lands, Richardson Bay,  
24 Marin County, in area granted to County of Marin, to provide  
25 public access to tidelands and along privately owned uplands;  
26 applicant (e), Ray Shaw -- Permit to dredge approximately

1 9,882 cubic yards material from 15.2 acres tide and submerged  
2 lands, Bodega Bay, Sonoma County, in area granted to County of  
3 Sonoma in 1959; applicant (f), State of California, Department  
4 of Fish and Game -- 49-year permit for public fishing access,  
5 to include a boat-launching ramp, in 0.212 acre submerged lands  
6 Sacramento River, Solano County.

7 That's all under Classification 2 - - one more, I  
8 guess: Applicant (g), State of California, Department of  
9 Fish and Game -- 49-year permit for public fishing access,  
10 to include a float and boat-launching ramp, on 0.13 acre sub-  
11 merged lands of Hudeman Slough, Sonoma County.

12 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, it should be reported  
13 that, in addition to the affirmative approval as required from  
14 other governmental agencies in connection with these matters,  
15 no objections have been received either.

16 MR. CHAMPION: May I just ask, Mr. Chairman - -  
17 Frank, these two marina developments in Bodega Bay are not  
18 related or involved in any way in the three-way controversy  
19 developing up there?

20 MR. HORTIG: This is a controversy primarily for  
21 placement of a road, Mr. Champion. These developments are at  
22 the northerly end of the bay; the road project that has devel-  
23 oped some objection is on the westerly portion of the bay. A  
24 portion of the road would go by these marinas, but these  
25 projects are not part of the objection that has been voiced.

26 MR. CRANSTON: Moved.



1 MR. CHAMPION: Second.

2 GOV. ANDERSON: Carried unanimously. Item 3 --

3 Permits, easements, leases, and rights-of-way issued pursuant  
4 to statutes and established rental policies of the Commission;  
5 Applicant (a) Hidden Splendor Mining Company -- 2-year pros-  
6 pecting permit, 618 acres vacant State school land, San Benito  
7 County, for all minerals other than oil and gas, at standard  
8 royalty rates; applicant (b), Beloil Corporation, Ltd. --  
9 Assignment of Interest under Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 920.1  
10 Huntington Beach Oil Field, Orange County, to Humble Oil and  
11 Refining Company; applicant (c), George E. Hurd and Fred  
12 Muller -- Assignment of Lease P.R.C. 542.1, 0.29 acre sub-  
13 merged land of Napa River, Napa County, to Jess Doud; appli-  
14 cant (d), George W. Ladd -- 10-year renewal of Lease P.R.C.  
15 324.1, tide and submerged land of Buckley Cove, San Joaquin  
16 County, annual rental \$361.26; applicant (e), Pacific Gas and  
17 Electric Company -- 49-year right-of-way easement, for con-  
18 struction and maintenance of underwater gas line across .073  
19 acre tide and submerged land, Sacramento River at Walnut  
20 Grove, Sacramento County, total rental \$773.10; applicant  
21 (f), Standard Oil Company of California, Western Operations,  
22 Inc. -- Inclusion of Marin County offshore area in Geophysical  
23 Exploration Permit P.R.C. 2873.1, issued pursuant to Commission  
24 authorization of 3/29/62, at which time telegram opposing the  
25 application was on file from Board of Supervisors of Marin  
26 County; protest withdrawn.



1 Applicant (g) is Tidewater Oil Company -- Permit for  
2 geophysical exploration operations from May 26, 1962 through  
3 July 25, 1962 on tide and submerged lands in portions of Sacra-  
4 mento River, Suisun Bay (including Honker and Grizzly Bays),  
5 Montezuma Slough, Montezuma Creek, New York Slough, and  
6 Suisun Slough; Contra Costa, Sacramento, and Solano counties.  
7 Applicant (h) is United States of America -- Lease for period  
8 July 1, 1962 through June 30, 1963, 40 acres school lands,  
9 Riverside County, for use as instrument landing field by  
10 Federal Aviation Agency, total rental \$100.

11 MR. CHAMPION: Move approval.

12 MR. GRANSTON: Second.

13 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
14 mously. Item Classification Number 4 -- City of Long Beach  
15 approvals required pursuant to Chapter 29, 1956, First Extra-  
16 ordinary Session: Project (a) Town Lot -- Increase in cost  
17 of property purchase, Area 7; estimated subproject expenditure  
18 from May 24, 1962 to termination of \$1,000 with \$630 (63%)  
19 estimated as subsidence costs. Project (b) General subsidence  
20 maintenance -- estimated subproject expenditures from July 1,  
21 1962 to June 30, 1963 of \$130,000 with 100% estimated as sub-  
22 sidence costs.

23 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, as to Item (b) just read,  
24 this represents the annual application by the City of Long  
25 Beach for approval on an annual basis of a fund to cover sub-  
26 sidence maintenance phases that are not included within

1 specific projects otherwise approved by the State Lands Com-  
2 mission. However, here again the amounts to be allowed and  
3 approved by the Commission are determined by final engineering  
4 review and audit review after the work is actually completed,  
5 to determine that it qualifies as a subsidence expense as auth-  
6 orized under Chapter 29 of the Statutes of 1956.

7 MR. CHAMPION: Move approval.

8 MR. CRANSTON: Second.

9 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
10 mously. Item Classification Number 5 -- Land Items; sales,  
11 selections, et cetera. All land sale items here presented  
12 have been reviewed by all State agencies having a land acquisi-  
13 tion program and, unless otherwise indicated, no interest has  
14 been reported by those agencies in any of the lands proposed  
15 for sale.

16 Classification (a) -- Sales of vacant State school  
17 land: First applicant United States Plywood Corporation;  
18 appraised value \$10,423.84 and that's the bid; (b) -- Selec-  
19 tion and sale of vacant Federal lands: Applicant (1) J. R.  
20 Brown -- appraised value \$456, and that's the bid; applicant  
21 (2) is J. R. Brown -- appraised value is \$1,312.50, and that's  
22 the bid. (c) is the selection of vacant Federal land on be-  
23 half of the State; applicants do not desire to proceed with  
24 acquisition of the lands. In this case it is 120 acres of  
25 land in San Bernardino County pursuant to application of  
26 Helaine L. and Gustave J. Miltz.

1 MR. GRANSTON: Move approval.

2 MR. CHAMPION: Second.

3 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
4 mously. Item Classification Number 6 -- Authorization for  
5 Executive Officer to review San Francisco Bay area military  
6 grant problems with the appropriate Federal agencies to permit  
7 establishment of adequate title records. Would you like to  
8 explain that, Mr. Hortig?

9 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, this subject came to the  
10 attention of the staff and is being brought to the attention  
11 of the Commission because of developments particularly in the  
12 San Francisco Bay area with respect to reorganization of cer-  
13 tain military installations, on the majority of which to  
14 various extents the Federal Government has been heretofore  
15 granted jurisdiction out to 300 yards beyond the low water  
16 mark adjacent to military installations around San Francisco  
17 Bay -- this by statutes of 1897. A tabulation of these instal-  
18 lations appears on page 29, and over and above the area auth-  
19 orized by the 1897 grant there is a considerable disparity in  
20 some instances between that figure and the acreage actually  
21 being occupied by the United States; not that the right of the  
22 United States is being contested with respect to such occupancy,  
23 but it appears there should be a clear record and specific  
24 authorization for such utilization in order that the Lands Com-  
25 mission records may reflect all occupancy of tide and sub-  
26 merged lands under its jurisdiction.

7  
1 A particular point in connection with this is the  
2 abandonment of the Benicia Arsenal, which by statute ended in  
3 a retrocession or return by the United States of that area to  
4 the State of California. It was found that the General Service  
5 Administration was contemplating a program to sell this land  
6 belonging to the State into private ownership under the theory  
7 that it still belonged to the United States.

8 With that as an example, and the disparity with  
9 respect to acreages with respect to military installation  
10 occupancy in San Francisco Bay; and in connection with ques-  
11 tions raised by you at the last meeting, Governor, with respect  
12 to any study or coordinated planning of utilization of land  
13 in San Francisco Bay, this matter of Federal occupancy of  
14 lands in San Francisco Bay must be clarified if there is to be  
15 a coherent and complete program for the San Francisco Bay area.  
16 It is, therefore, recommended that the Executive Officer be  
17 authorized to review the San Francisco Bay area military grant  
18 problems with the appropriate Federal agencies to permit estab-  
19 lishment of adequate title records as to the affected areas.

20 GOV. ANDERSON: This is just to authorize you to  
21 make a review?

22 MR. HORTIG: To make a study and report to the  
23 Commission, and determine whether there are any further auth-  
24 orizations or agreements that should come between the Lands  
25 Commission and the various Federal agencies in order to  
26 clarify and establish a correct title record for these

1 occupancies in San Francisco Bay.

2 MR. CHAMPION: It ought to put the Federal agencies  
3 on notice, too.

4 GOV. ANDERSON: Prior to your review, is there any  
5 simple explanation as to why, for example, some of these have  
6 increased to more than the initial grant? I am looking at the  
7 first one -- Mare Island, where they were granted 1125 acres  
8 and are using 2100.

9 MR. HORTIG: The Mare Island shipyard in World War  
10 I and World War II expanded its operations, and a simple way  
11 to acquire more land in which to operate was to fill in some  
12 of the adjoining tide and submerged lands and proceed to  
13 occupy and utilize it. It comes closer to home in the area  
14 occupied by the U. S. Naval shipyards in Long Beach, which  
15 comprise a much greater area than the City of Long Beach had  
16 condemned in 1952. These conditions just grow, and sooner or  
17 later the title record has to be clarified.

18 MR. SHAVELSON: Excuse me, Frank. One other thing  
19 that appears from the Mare Island situation is the possible  
20 difference of opinion as to the position of the low water  
21 mark. In other words, the Federal Government would own 300  
22 yards beyond the low water mark and there has been some  
23 accretion; and the California rule in respect to that is dif-  
24 ferent than that of any other state, and it is possible a  
25 difference might develop between the State and the United  
26 States with regard to that.

1 GOV. ANDERSON: That would be the boundary, but if  
2 they were given 1125 acres it would move to wherever that went.

3 MR. SHAVELSON: If their boundary line is 300 yards  
4 from the low water mark, as the low water mark moved waterward  
5 if that was by natural influences then their title would like-  
6 wise move waterward; but if it moved by artificial influences,  
7 then it would be our position that their title does not move  
8 waterward with the apparent line being at low tide. I just  
9 want to point that out.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: If they are on their own fill, the  
11 chances are they are operating on maybe a thousand acres of  
12 land that belongs to the State -- could be?

13 MR. SHAVELSON: That is our position, yes.

14 GOV. ANDERSON: This is, then, what you are going  
15 to survey and report back?

16 MR. HORTIG: It should be studied and reported on,  
17 to clarify the record.

18 MR. CHAMPION: I move approval.

19 MR. CRANSTON: Second.

20 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded. Carried  
21 unanimously.

22 Classification Number 7 -- Authorization to the  
23 Executive Officer to enter into compensatory royalty agreement  
24 with R. E. Rapp and Edward T. Perry for State's interest in  
25 area located in Solano County known as Grizzly Island Water-  
26 fowl Management Area, standard royalty rates.



1 Would you like to comment on that?

2 MR. HORTIG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The area involved  
3 on Grizzly Island was acquired for the State Department of  
4 Fish and Game for the project known as Grizzly Island Water-  
5 fowl Management Area. In the acquisition only a partial  
6 mineral interest was acquired by the State. The balance of  
7 the mineral interest remained with the private owner from whom  
8 the lands were acquired, as well as the right of access for  
9 the development of any oil and gas.

10 The successor in interest to the private owner's  
11 mineral interest now proposes the development of his  
12 mineral interest, which is the majority interest in the area;  
13 and the only way that the State can be compensated for its  
14 share of the mineral interest is to enter into a compensatory  
15 royalty agreement, as here recommended, under which the State  
16 will receive a royalty for its share of the gas produced --  
17 if any is produced in fact.

18 MR. CHAMPION: Move approval.

19 MR. CRANSTON: Second.

20 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
21 mously. Classification Number 8 -- Authorization for Execu-  
22 tive Officer to approve and have recorded Sheet 1 of 1 of map  
23 entitled "Boundary of State Submerged lands, Vicinity of  
24 Martinez, Contra Costa County, California," dated March 1960;  
25 and to execute agreement with the upland owner, Tidewater Oil  
26 Company, fixing the common boundary between certain State



1 submerged lands and private lands along Carquinez Strait,  
2 Martinez, Contra Costa County.

3 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, if the Commissioners will  
4 refer to the map preceding page 39 of your agenda, you will  
5 recognize the identical map that you had before you at the  
6 last meeting of the Commission, where there is an area desig-  
7 nated "Shell Oil Company" in the central part of the map.  
8 The boundary between the State lands and Shell Oil Company  
9 lands in the central part of the map was authorized to be  
10 fixed and approved by the Commission at the last meeting, at  
11 which time I gave notice that the parcel to the east, or to  
12 the right (your right), the parcel of Tidewater Oil Company,  
13 would be similarly presented for consideration at this meeting.  
14 today. The heavy black line represents the best location  
15 possible at this time of the low water mark boundary of sales  
16 made by the State of California prior to 1900; and for record  
17 title purposes, again should be approved and adopted as be-  
18 tween the State of California and the Tidewater Oil Company  
19 as the dividing line between the respective ownerships.

20 MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

21 MR. CHAMPION: Second.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
23 mously. Classification Number 9 -- Authorization for Executive  
24 Officer to execute service agreement with City of Oakland,  
25 Alameda County, providing for surveying services pursuant to  
26 Chapter 931/61, at Commission's actual costs not to exceed  
\$1,000.

1 MR. CRANSTON: I move.

2 MR. CHAMPION: Second.

3 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
4 mously.

5 Classification Number 10 -- Proposed Oil and Gas  
6 Lease, Santa Barbara County, Parcel 9. Mr. Hortig?

7 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, the Commission has cur-  
8 rently under lease offer two parcels to the west of the parcel  
9 being considered here today. The area designated as Parcel 9,  
10 and as shown on the map following page 40 of your agenda, rep-  
11 resents the next parcel to the east in the continuing sequence  
12 of lease offers that the Commission has authorized heretofore  
13 in western Santa Barbara County.

14 Bids are to be received on the area designated as  
15 Parcel 7 on June 7th, and subsequently on Parcel 8. The  
16 recommendation today is for authorization to publish a notice  
17 of intention to receive bids on the cross-hatched area shown  
18 as Parcel 9.

19 MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

20 MR. CHAMPION: Second.

21 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
22 mously.

23 Classification 11 -- Confirmation of transactions  
24 consummated by the Executive Officer pursuant to authority  
25 confirmed by the Commission at its meeting October 5, 1959.

26 MR. HORTIG: As the Commissioners can see from pages

1 41 and 42, the actions previously undertaken were approval of  
2 a sublease, extension of a previously authorized geophysical  
3 permit and a geological exploration permit, and approval of  
4 assignment of a grazing lease.

5 MR. CHAMPION: Move approval.

6 MR. CRANSTON: Second.

7 GOV. ANDERSON: Carried unanimously. Item 12 --  
8 Informative only, no Commission action required, report on  
9 the status of major litigation.

10 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, if the Commissioners  
11 will refer to page 43 of the agenda -- item 2 referring to  
12 Case No. 683824, People vs. City of Long Beach, identified as  
13 the Alamitos Bay Quitclaim Litigation -- the report indicates  
14 final successful termination of this litigation. This is a  
15 deceptively brief resume of what actually happened in a very  
16 important case, a very difficult case, and one in which I  
17 would like to bring to the attention of the Commission the fact  
18 that over and above the usual excellent cooperation and activ-  
19 ity by the Office of the Attorney General, of my own personal  
20 knowledge I know that extreme effort was expended in connec-  
21 tion with this litigation personally by Assistant Attorney  
22 General Howard Goldin in bringing this case to a successful  
23 conclusion.

24 The result is that an area of tide and submerged  
25 lands at the easterly end of the City of Long Beach, in which  
26 the City of Long Beach contested the State's mineral title,

1 has been held by all the courts in California as being under  
2 the jurisdiction of the State Lands Commission for mineral  
3 development. The area is a portion of that considered for  
4 development in conjunction with granted tidelands by the City  
5 of Long Beach currently, and the distinction will be -- whenever  
6 the format for development of this particular parcel is decided --  
7 that from the parcel now, rather than the State participating  
8 in 50% of the revenues provided in Chapter 29, 1956, 100% of  
9 the revenues from this parcel will accrue to the California  
10 State Treasury.

11 MR. CRANSTON: Good.

12 MR. CHAMPION: Very good.

13 GOV. ANDERSON: Any other comments on litigation?

14 MR. HORTIG: No, sir.

15 GOV. ANDERSON: Classification 13 is the establish-  
16 ment of a land management and sales program for all lands  
17 under the Commission's jurisdiction except those held in a  
18 sovereign capacity. Mr. Hortig?

19 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, summarizing the agenda  
20 item, on May 24, 1960 the State Lands Commission directed the  
21 staff to undertake a study for the purpose of inventorying,  
22 classifying, the unsold public lands previously granted to  
23 the State by Congress, and also suspending receipt of any  
24 further applications to purchase lands pending completion of  
25 this study and inventory and recommendation to the Commission  
26 of a basis for a future policy with respect to administration

1 of State lands.

2 It is here recommended, as a result of completion of  
3 the study -- and I again call attention of the Commissioners  
4 to the fact that this stack of records on the table here rep-  
5 resents one copy of the majority of the reports that have  
6 heretofore been furnished to you gentlemen in support of the  
7 recommendation which is here summarized -- and from this sum-  
8 mary, it is recommended that the Commission consider estab-  
9 lishment of a land management and sales program for all lands  
10 under the Commission's jurisdiction except those held in a  
11 sovereign capacity, being, of course, the tide and submerged  
12 lands -- which by statutory and constitutional reservations  
13 may not be sold; the program to be administered under the  
14 general principles and procedures as outlined for your con-  
15 sideration in items 1 through 11 of the attached agenda.

16 Again, in brief summary, the proposed program which  
17 is proposed for your consideration for adoption would result  
18 in the first establishment of a directed managerial program  
19 for State lands and under the controls and direction of the  
20 State Lands Commission. The land acquisition and public land  
21 disposition policies of both the Federal Government and most  
22 of the public land western states have not been particularly  
23 updated since their inception, depending upon the admission of  
24 the particular states to the Union. They were all, of course,  
25 at the time of initial adoption predicated on the fundamental  
26 premise that it was desirable and necessary to settle the



1 west; and I think it is clear that a fair amount of settlement  
2 of the west has accrued.

3 With respect to the unsold lands in the State of  
4 California, and as to the manner of development of these lands,  
5 the program here outlined for a land management and sales  
6 program is recommended and directed to that end.

7 GOV. ANDERSON: What difference would this be from  
8 what we had before?

9 MR. HORTIG: First, the program for land administra-  
10 tion would be under the direction of the Commission, in accord-  
11 ance with schedules established by the Commission, in distinc-  
12 tion to the activities previously having been initiated only  
13 by private application to purchase. Second, .....

14 GOV. ANDERSON: Won't there be a certain amount of  
15 those?

16 MR. HORTIG: There will be.

17 GOV. ANDERSON: In other words, the private person  
18 will go out and find the property still and in a sense we will  
19 be following him?

20 MR. HORTIG: With respect to land sales; but the  
21 second phase of this program, and the essential one, is the  
22 proposal of an essential land management phase.....

23 MR. CHAMPION: We would actively get into the business  
24 of trying to sell certain lands, trying to get income for cer-  
25 tain lands through being held for public purposes. In other  
26 words, we establish -- propose the initiative.

1 MR. CRANSTON: It is analogous to the oil program.  
2 This report is so complicated that I think each of us would  
3 want to study it carefully. My impression is, from the little  
4 bit of time I have had to delve into it, the staff has done an  
5 excellent job. I would like to move that we have time to  
6 study it.

7 MR. CHAMPION: I'd like to make a further recommenda-  
8 tion, if it is acceptable to the Commission. I have gone  
9 through this thing. It seems to me there is some policy con-  
10 tained, the framework of which I think is very good. The  
11 question is on some of the policies that are implied in terms  
12 of a sales program and a holding or management program, where  
13 the State actually is trying to make money out of the lands,  
14 and how far we are willing to go in that direction or how far  
15 we should go in that direction. There are a couple of other  
16 things -- the two-year limitation on public use, and so on.

17 What I would like to suggest, if the other members  
18 of the Commission agree, is that we have an open workshop  
19 meeting on the subject, with any members of the staff and mem-  
20 bers of the public who would like to attend, so we get the  
21 thinking of the staff...

22 MR. CRANSTON: That would be excellent. I think the  
23 staff should also send copies of this to every group or indi-  
24 vidual they know of, particularly groups that may have interest,  
25 and would you please give us a copy of that list so we have it?  
26 Proceed with whatever you want to send out, but give us copies.



1 I think there ought to be a workshop.

2 MR. CHAMPION: There has been some discussion of  
3 this with some other State agencies as it has gone on?

4 MR. HORTIG: Yes.

5 MR. CHAMPION: Not with the final report, but with  
6 some of the Resources people?

7 MR. HORTIG: Yes.

8 MR. CRANSTON: When do you think we ought to have  
9 that?

10 MR. CHAMPION: I have no idea. I think some time  
11 after June 5th.

12 MR. CRANSTON: Would it be possible to do that in  
13 the afternoon of June 27th, which would precede our June 28th  
14 meeting in Sacramento?

15 MR. CHAMPION: That would be fine.

16 GOV. ANDERSON: I don't know, but I will try.

17 MR. CRANSTON: Would it be possible, Alan, to  
18 check his calendar?

19 MR. SIEROTY: Not right now.

20 MR. CRANSTON: Well, let's tentatively schedule it  
21 the afternoon of June 27th.

22 MR. SIEROTY: Would that give you enough time?

23 MR. CRANSTON: We wouldn't necessarily act on it the  
24 next day, but it would give us an opportunity to get views.  
25 I don't think we would act on it the next day. Is that  
26 motion adopted?

1 GOV. ANDERSON: The motion is to .....

2 MR. CRANSTON: Take it under consideration and have  
3 a workshop public type meeting on June 27th at two o'clock in  
4 Sacramento.

5 MR. CHAMPION: That's seconded.

6 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unani-  
7 mously.

8 MR. CRANSTON: With that schedule, I'd like to ask  
9 that we have the meeting at nine instead of ten on June 28th.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: Any objection?

11 MR. CHAMPION: No objection.

12 GOV. ANDERSON: Is that the last order of business,  
13 then, before we adjourn? Confirmation of time and place and  
14 date of the next Commission meeting \* Thursday, June 28th,  
15 at nine a.m. instead of ten a.m., in Sacramento. Carried  
16 unanimously. This meeting is adjourned.

17  
18 ADJOURNED 10:45 A.M.

19 \*\*\*\*\*  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LOUISE H. LILICO, reporter for the Office of Administrative Procedure, hereby certify that the foregoing nineteen pages contain a full true and correct transcript of the shorthand notes taken by me in the meeting of the STATE LANDS COMMISSION at Los Angeles, California, on May 24, 1962.

Dated: Sacramento, California, May 28, 1962.

*Louise H. Lilico*